



[Geology] Review Data used for GIS-based Flood Susceptibility Mapping

Saro Lee^{1,2,*} and Fatemeh Rezaie^{1,2}

Geological Research Division, Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources (KIGAM), Daejeon 34132, Republic of Korea¹

Department of Geophysical Exploration, Korea University of Science and Technology Daejeon 34113, Republic of Korea²

Received: 18 February 2022, revised: 24 March 2022, accepted: 29 March 2022

Abstract The dramatic increase in flood incidents as a significant threat to human life and property, environment, and infrastructure indicates the necessity of mapping spatial distribution of flood susceptible areas to reduce destructive effects of flooding. During the last decade, the integration of the geographic information system (GIS) with the remote sensing data provide efficient means to generate a more reliable and precise flood susceptibility map. The present study contains a review of 200 articles on the application of GIS-based methods in indicating flood vulnerable areas. The papers were reviewed in terms of influential variables, study area, and the number of articles published in the last 10 years. The review shows that the number of studies has increased since 2012. The total study areas covered 39 countries that were mostly located in Asia where the major developments and infrastructures have been constructed in the floodplains. The most common study areas was Iran (44 articles, 22%), followed by India (26 articles, 13%), China (26 articles, 11%), and Vietnam (15 articles, 7.5%). More than 90 variables were considered to map flood susceptible areas that the top 5 widely used flood conditioning factor are slope (98% of total articles), followed by elevation (92% of total articles), land use/land cover (79.5% of total articles), and rainfall (73% of total articles). The review implies that many natural and anthropogenic factors affect flooding and the combination of both groups of factors is necessary to accurately detect and map flood-prone parts of the study area.

Keywords: Data, Systematic literature review, GIS, Flood, Susceptibility map

1. Introduction

Flood as a result of climate changes is the most widespread and serious geological hazard around the world which one-third of the global surface, including more than 90 countries, is more exposed to flood incidence (Costache et al., 2020). Moreover, the frequency of flood occurrence is more than the other type of natural disasters such as landslide, earthquake, tsunami, and volcanic eruptions (Msabi and Makonyo, 2021). Flood is often responsible for numerous environmental damages, loss of human life, and many destructions of urban infrastructure and incur large direct and indirect costs. Flood occurrences have increased globally due to the incapability of a natural or modified system for drainage to contain the water after heavy rainfalls or long duration of precipitation (Gudiyangada Nachappa et al., 2020). The methods through which counties, cities, and towns plan for land use and engineer new construction and infrastructure can reduce the human and financial costs of floods. Although some causes of many floods cannot be removed, good engineering practices, geological investigations, and effective management regulations of land use can reduce flood hazard. Therefore, it is essential to understand the science of floods, including their causes and associated geological features as well as the locations where they are likely to occur.

Studies show that flooding has affected almost all countries of the world especially many Asian countries and most parts of Iran, India, China, and Vietnam have experienced devastating floods in recent years (Kourgialas and Karatzas, 2017). Flood events are the main cause of more than 90% of fatalities and damages in Asia and it could rise by about 200% over the next three decades (Arabameri et al., 2020; Shahabi et al., 2021). The rapid change in land use/ land cover and developing cities with dense settlements in flood plain regions as well as poor drainage systems, riverside civilization, and intervention into the natural system influence water infiltration rate and increase the probability of flooding (Paul et al., 2019; Tella and Balogun, 2020). In addition to human activities such as deforestation, soil degradation, and urbanization, various natural factors can play a critical role in flood occurrence. Heavy prolonged rainfall causes the rapid release of a high amount of runoff waters from upstream to downstream areas and leads to a decrease in the holding capacity of the river (Saha et al., 2021; Suppawimut, 2021). River overflowing into areas closest to the river bank is important for the initiation of flooding (Karymbalis et al., 2021). Hydro-geological morphometric characteristics of the catchment have effect on the permeability and infiltration capacity of the river (Sarkar et al., 2020). Soil physical properties including soil texture, soil types, soil porosity, and soil structure can cause variations in the degree of water infiltration (Morea and Samanta, 2020). Slope, elevation, topographic wetness index (TWI), aspect, and stream power index (SPI) are frequently used to show the effect of topographic factors on hydrological processes and flood creation. In fact, DEM-derived factors influence the surface run-off velocity, soil moisture, and plant distribution in the most flood-affected regions.

Generating flood susceptibility map is an effective and efficient approach to reduce the negative effect of floods which the map illustrates the possibility of flood occurrence in an area based on the local environmental characteristics and terrain condition. To map the possibility of flood occurrence, rainfall-runoff models need different types of data which are usually unavailable at a regional scale (Ahmadlou et al., 2021). Therefore, previous studies have mapped flood-prone areas using several multiple criteria decision analysis-based methods in combination with geographic information system (GIS), such as analytical network process (ANP) (Dano et al., 2019), analytical hierarchy process (AHP) (Vojtek and Vojteková, 2019), simple additive weighting (SAW) (Setyani and Saputra, 2016),

VlseKriterijumska optimizacija I Kompromisno Resenje (VIKOR) (Analytics and Akay, 2021), and technique for order preference by similarity to ideal solution (TOPSIS) (Rafiei-Sardooi et al., 2021) which the flood conditioning factors are weighted and prioritized based on an expert opinion and expertise. Nowadays, using machine learning methods such as artificial neural network (Ahmed et al., 2021), random forest (Pal and Singha, 2021; Saber et al., 2021), support vector machine (Gudiyangada Nachappa et al., 2020; Siam et al., 2021), and convolutional neural network (Zhao et al., 2020) reduce operating costs and improve the speed of analysis, which has become increasingly important for spatial analysis as large datasets become available. In all mentioned methods, selecting flood conditioning factors play a crucial role in increasing model accuracy and improving the ability of methods to handle multiple variables. Therefore, selecting the optimized number of parameters is obviously important to achieve the best results for a given set of environmental characteristics due to the complexity of the flood conditioning factors and their variation across the study area. Generally, the steps of flood analysis can be classified into three parts including susceptibility, hazard, and risk assessment which the interest in flood susceptibility mapping has been increased in recent years.

The main objective of the current study is to provide an updated overview of the status and trends of GIS-based flood susceptibility mapping in terms of determinant factors, location of the case study, and the number of articles. This review will provide valuable information that will benefit flood mitigation studies when trying to consider more effective factors for determining areas with high susceptibility to flooding.

2. Methodology

With the aim of clarifying the status of GIS-based flood susceptibility mapping during 2012-2021, Scopus (www.scopus.com) databases were used to search for reviews and research studies published in English using the search terms: "GIS", "flood susceptibility mapping", "flood hazard mapping", "flood susceptibility prediction", "flood susceptibility analysis", "flood susceptibility modelling" and "flood spatial prediction". Following this search, an initial selection of articles was made according to their titles and abstracts. Subsequently, a second selection was made based on reading of the articles. Afterwards, the articles were classified by year to determine the number of articles published per year. This information was used to identify yearly trends in GIS-based flood susceptibility mapping studies. Then, papers were categorized based on the study area, and conditioning factors. Furthermore, VOSviewer software (https://www.vosviewer.com/, Version: 1.6.18) as a well-known visualization tool was used to analyze the keyword co-occurrence according to the purpose of the research.

3. Results

3.1 Temporal trends in published articles

During 2012–2021, 200 articles on GIS-based flood susceptibility mapping were published by a continuous increasing trend over time (Fig. 1). Whereas only one article was published in 2012, 73 articles were published during 2021. During the last decade, the gradient of the trend line of the number of published papers per year was 6.88 and the coefficient of determination (R²) was 0.79, which reflects a significant increase with a reliable fit.





3.2 Study area

The study areas of the investigated articles spanned regions from 39 countries (Fig. 2); however, 64% of articles originated from 6 countries. The most common study area was Iran (44 articles, 22.00%), followed by India (26 articles, 13.00%), China (22 articles, 11.00%), Vietnam (15 articles, 7.50%), Romania (11 articles, 5.50%), and Greece (10 articles, 5.00%). Some examples of these studies included GIS-based flood susceptibility mapping in the mentioned study areas are Arabameri et al. (2020); Arya and Singh, (2021); Costache et al. (2019); Skilodimou et al. (2021); Tien Bui et al. (2020); and Zeng et al. (2021). These regions have large area and multiple climates, variable temporal and spatial rainfall as well as land use and climate changes in the most parts cause flooding every year; therefore, many studies have been conducted for flood mitigation and prevention to decrease its damage to human life, economy, environmental ecosystems, and infrastructure.



Figure 2. Number of published articles with respect to study area from 2012 to 2022

3.3. Conditioning factors

As shown in Fig. 3, based on literature review, the top 10 widely used conditioning factors to identify areas susceptible to floods were slope (98.00% of total articles), elevation (92.00%), land use/ land cover (79.50%), distance to the river (76.50%), rainfall (73.00%), geology (61.50%), TWI (61.50%), river density (43.50%), aspect (41.00%), and SPI (35.00% of total articles). The factors were considered very frequently in some studies such as Chakrabortty et al. (2021); Malik et al. (2020); Pham et al. (2021); Sahana and Patel, (2019); Yousefi et al. (2020); and Zzaman et al. (2021) (Fig. 4).

It should be emphasized that selecting reliable explanatory factors can affect the method prediction accuracy. It can lead to more efficiently handling over-fitting problems, prevent redundancy, and decrease the dimensionality of input space (Shirzadi et al., 2020).



Figure 3. Number of published articles with respect to the conditioning factors used to map areas susceptible to flooding from 2012 to 2022 (NDVI: Normalized Difference Vegetation Index, STI: Sediment Transport Index, TPI: Topographic Position Index, TRI: Terrain Ruggedness Index, NDWI: Normalized Difference Water Index, and NDBI: Normalized Difference Built-up Index)

3.4 Mapping of keywords

Keywords are the main elements showing the aim of the research. Literature reviews on flood susceptibility mapping revealed that the top 5 occurring keywords with the minimum occurrence of 3 times are spatial prediction, frequency ratio, GIS, statistical models, and flood susceptibility (Fig. 5). The results show that GIS- based data-driven methods are commonly used in flood susceptibility analysis.



Figure 4. Example of using the top 10 conditioning factors in flood susceptibility mapping, A: India and B: South Korea (SLF: Slope Length Factor) (Chakrabortty et al., 2021; Lei et al., 2021)



Figure 5. Density visualization of keywords

4. Discussion

Decreasing the number of affected people and economic losses of flood occurrences are the main objectives of implementing a proper flood management strategy. The flood cannot be mitigated completely but identification of flood-prone regions as well as construction necessary structures can be an effective way to decline fatalities and damage to the economy and environment (Al-Abadi et al., 2016). Therefore, flood susceptibility assessment is a foremost task to decrease the great cost of disaster recovery. In other words, a satisfactorily correct delineation of flood susceptible areas deserves high priority from decision-makers. Furthermore, the number of in-depth research to enhance the accuracy of distinguishing flood-prone areas has increased especially in Asian countries since 2012. The geology, tectonic setting, poor land use and environmental management practices, as well as the location of settlements and infrastructures on the alluvial plains lead to severe losses of life and property and reparable damages to agricultural lands in the event of a flood in Asia (Nandi et al., 2016). The result of the current study shows that the susceptibility map for some flood vulnerable areas has been sufficiently generated.

The flood occurrence is affected by various anthropogenic, topography, geological, meteorological, geomorphological, and hydrological factors. Table 1 presents the reason for use of the top 10 conditioning factors which are commonly utilized to map the susceptible flood areas.

Conditioning Factor	Purpose of use
Slope	It controls the velocity of surface runoff and opportunities for permeation (Tien Bui et al., 2019). In areas with steep slopes time for infiltration decreases which leads to an increase in water flow (El-Haddad et al., 2021). Slope can control the amount of water infiltration into the soil and it has impact on the depth and capacity of water retention (Dodangeh et al., 2020).
Elevation	Elevation plays an important role in the the direction and amount of surface runoff in an area (Tiryaki and Karaca, 2018). Moreover, different elevations have altered climate characteristics and can cause the differences in vegetation and soil conditions (Natarajan et al., 2021; Rahmati et al., 2016).
Land use/Land cover	It can indirectly or directly affect surface runoff, evapotranspiration, permeability and penetration rate (Yariyan et al., 2020). In urban areas runoff increases due extensive impervious soil. In fallow farmland lack of vegetation cover to control and prevent the rapid flow of water to the soil surface increases runoff (Ullah and Zhang, 2020).
Distance from river	River-overflows are critical for the initiation of a flood event. The probability of inundation is lower in areas far away from riverbeds (Das, 2020; Kazakis et al., 2015; Tehrany and Kumar, 2018).
Rainfall	Rainfall is the most dependable factor of flood which cannot imagine flood occurrence except it (Chowdhuri et al., 2020). flood has been occurred due to huge volume of run-off flows and overbanking as a result of excessively heavy rainfalls or prolonged rainfall (Ahmadlou et al., 2019; Shahiri Tabarestani and Afzalimehr, 2021).
Geology/Lithology	Variations of lithological units can impact on the stream profile on temporal floods and has effect on the development of floods due its influence on permeability power and surface runoff (Haghizadeh et al., 2017; Hong et al., 2018a). In fact, subsoil materials with high permeability and high resistant rocks have lower drainage densities (Hong et al., 2018b).

Table 1. The purpose for use of the most important conditioning factors

Topographic wetness index (TWI)	TWI is used to quantify the topographical effect on hydrological processes. It shows the tendency of water to accumulate at a specific location or move downward due to the gravitational force (Tehrany et al., 2019). Moreover, TWI shows spatial patterns of soil moisture and flow intensity (Bui et al., 2019; Lei et al., 2021).
River density	Drainage systems often influences river overflow and continuous flooding in an area (Choubin et al., 2019). Higher drainage density results in lower infiltration and higher runoff (Arabameri et al., 2020; Pandey et al., 2021).
Aspect	Aspect has indirect effect on flooding by controlling some environmental factors such an amount of rainfall, vegetation development, evapotranspiration, and moisture content of the soil by defining where lightning strikes (Dodangeh et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021; Siahkamari et al., 2018).
Stream power index (SPI)	SPI is a factor to express the erosive power of flowing water (Gudiyangada Nachappa and Meena, 2020; Lee et al., 2017; Shahabi et al., 2020).

The conditioning factors are not fixed and a universal guideline is not available for selection of them. In each area, the selection of flood-controlling factors is performed according to the literature review, expert opinion, data availability, as well as physical and natural characteristics of the study area (Ullah and Zhang, 2020). The accuracy of identification areas actually affected by floods can vary considerably based on selecting the causative criteria.

5. Conclusion

In this study, a systematic review was conducted to determine the trend of using GIS-based approaches in spatial modelling and delineating flood susceptible areas. As a result of increasing the frequency of weather-driven disasters, the number of studies to assess flood susceptibility has annually increased in Iran, India, China, Vietnam, and Romania that much attention was paid during 2017-2021 (112 articles).

Flooding is a function of six main groups of factors including topography (i.e. slope, elevation, TWI, aspect, SPI, curvature, plan curvature, and flow accumulation), land use/land cover, meteorological (spatial variability of rainfall intensity), geology, soil property (i.e. type, texture, drainage, moisture, and depth), and forest-related factors (i.e. NDVI, age, type, and density). The top 8 conditioning factors (i.e. slope, elevation, land use/land cover, distance to the river, rainfall, geology, TWI, and river density) were considered 18 times among 200 papers which shows the importance and effect of them in the modelling. The study pointed out that the flood susceptibility assessment was adequately carried out in vulnerable countries, and more research should be undertaken on the flood hazard and risk to minimize human deaths and damages to the economy, farmlands, and urban infrastructure.

6. Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Basic Research Project of the Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources (KIGAM) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT.

7. References

Ahmadlou M et al. (2021) Flood susceptibility mapping and assessment using a novel deep learning model combining multilayer perceptron and autoencoder neural networks. J. Flood Risk Manag. 14. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12683

Ahmadlou M et al. (2019) Flood susceptibility assessment using integration of adaptive network-based fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) and biogeography-based optimization (BBO) and BAT algorithms (BA). Geocarto Int. 34:1252–1272. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2018.1474276

Ahmed N et al. (2021) Flood susceptibility mapping in Brahmaputra floodplain of Bangladesh using deep boost, deep learning neural network, and artificial neural network. Geocarto Int. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2021.2005698

Al-Abadi AM, Shahid S, Al-Ali AK (2016) A GIS-based integration of catastrophe theory and analytical hierarchy process for mapping flood susceptibility: a case study of Teeb area, Southern Iraq. Environ. Earth Sci. 75, 687. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-016-5523-7

Analytics D, Akay H (2021) Flood hazards susceptibility mapping using statistical, fuzzy logic, and MCDM methods. Soft Comput. 25:9325–9346 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-021-05903-1

Arabameri A et al. (2020) Flash flood susceptibility modelling using functional tree and hybrid ensemble techniques. J. Hydrol. 587, 125007. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125007

Arya AK, Singh AP (2021) Multi criteria analysis for flood hazard mapping using GIS techniques: a case study of Ghaghara River basin in Uttar Pradesh, India. Arab. J. Geosci. 14, 656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-021-06971-1 Bui DT et al. (2019) A novel hybrid approach based on a swarm intelligence optimized extreme learning machine for flash flood susceptibility mapping. Catena 179:184–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2019.04.009

Chakrabortty R et al. (2021) Flash-Flood Hazard Susceptibility Mapping in Kangsabati River Basin, India. Geocarto Int. 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2021.1953618

Choubin B et al. (2019) An ensemble prediction of flood susceptibility using multivariate discriminant analysis, classification and regression trees, and support vector machines. Sci. Total Environ. 651:2087–2096. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.064

Chowdhuri I, Pal SC, Chakrabortty R (2020) Flood susceptibility mapping by ensemble evidential belief function and binomial logistic regression model on river basin of eastern India. Adv. Sp. Res. 65:1466–1489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2019.12.003

Costache R et al. (2019) Flash-Flood Susceptibility Assessment Using Multi-Criteria Decision Making and Machine Learning Supported by Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques. Remote Sens. 12, 106. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12010106

Costache R et al. (2020) New neural fuzzy-based machine learning ensemble for enhancing the prediction accuracy

of flood susceptibility mapping. Hydrol. Sci. J. 65:2816–2837. https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2020.1842412 Dano U et al. (2019) Flood Susceptibility Mapping Using GIS-Based Analytic Network Process: A Case Study of Perlis, Malaysia. Water 11, 615. https://doi.org/10.3390/w11030615

Das S (2020) Flood susceptibility mapping of the Western Ghat coastal belt using multi-source geospatial data and analytical hierarchy process (AHP). Remote Sens. Appl. Soc. Environ. 20, 100379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2020.100379

Dodangeh E et al. (2020) Novel hybrid intelligence models for flood-susceptibility prediction: Meta optimization of the GMDH and SVR models with the genetic algorithm and harmony search. J. Hydrol. 590, 125423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125423

El-Haddad BA et al. (2021) Flood susceptibility prediction using four machine learning techniques and comparison of their performance at Wadi Qena Basin, Egypt. Nat. Hazards 105:83–114. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04296-y

Gudiyangada Nachappa T, Meena SR (2020) A novel per pixel and object-based ensemble approach for flood susceptibility mapping. Geomatics, Nat. Hazards Risk 11:2147–2175. https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2020.1833990

Gudiyangada Nachappa T et al. (2020) Flood susceptibility mapping with machine learning, multi-criteria decision analysis and ensemble using Dempster Shafer Theory. J. Hydrol. 590, 125275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125275

Haghizadeh A et al. (2017) Forecasting flood-prone areas using Shannon's entropy model. J. Earth Syst. Sci. 126, 39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-017-0819-x

Hong H et al. (2018a) Flood susceptibility assessment in Hengfeng area coupling adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system with genetic algorithm and differential evolution. Sci. Total Environ. 621:1124–1141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.114

Hong H et al. (2018b) Application of fuzzy weight of evidence and data mining techniques in construction of flood susceptibility map of Poyang County, China. Sci. Total Environ. 625:575–588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.256

Karymbalis E et al. (2021) Integration of gis-based multicriteria decision analysis and analytic hierarchy process for flood-hazard assessment in the megalo rema river catchment (East attica, greece). Sustain. 13. https://doi.org/10.3390/su131810232

Kazakis N, Kougias I, Patsialis T (2015) Assessment of flood hazard areas at a regional scale using an index-based approach and Analytical Hierarchy Process: Application in Rhodope–Evros region, Greece. Sci. Total Environ. 538:555–563. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.055

Kourgialas NN, Karatzas GP (2017) A national scale flood hazard mapping methodology: The case of Greece – Protection and adaptation policy approaches. Sci. Total Environ. 601–602, 441–452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.197

Lee SM et al. (2017) Spatial prediction of flood susceptibility using random-forest and boosted-tree models in Seoul metropolitan city, Korea. Geomatics, Nat. Hazards Risk 8:1185–1203. https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2017.1308971

Lei X et al. (2021) Urban flood modeling using deep-learning approaches in Seoul, South Korea. J. Hydrol. 126684.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126684

Liu J et al. (2021) Hybrid Models Incorporating Bivariate Statistics and Machine Learning Methods for Flash Flood Susceptibility Assessment Based on Remote Sensing Datasets. Remote Sens. 13, 4945. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13234945

Malik S et al. (2020) Prediction of highly flood prone areas by GIS based heuristic and statistical model in a monsoon dominated region of Bengal Basin. Remote Sens. Appl. Soc. Environ. 19, 100343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2020.100343

Morea H, Samanta S (2020) Multi-criteria decision approach to identify flood vulnerability zones using geospatial technology in the Kemp-Welch Catchment, Central Province, Papua New Guinea. Appl. Geomatics 12:427–440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12518-020-00315-6

Msabi MM, Makonyo M (2021) Flood susceptibility mapping using GIS and multi-criteria decision analysis: A case of Dodoma region, central Tanzania. Remote Sens. Appl. Soc. Environ. 21, 100445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2020.100445

Nandi A et al. (2016) Flood hazard mapping in Jamaica using principal component analysis and logistic regression. Environ. Earth Sci. 75:1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-016-5323-0

Natarajan L et al. (2021) Flood Susceptibility Analysis in Chennai Corporation Using Frequency Ratio Model. J. Indian Soc. Remote Sens. 49:1533–1543. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-021-01331-8

Pal S, Singha P (2021) Analyzing sensitivity of flood susceptible model in a flood plain river basin. Geocarto Int. 0:1– 34. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2021.1967464

Pandey M et al. (2021) Flood Susceptibility Modeling in a Subtropical Humid Low-Relief Alluvial Plain Environment: Application of Novel Ensemble Machine Learning Approach. Front. Earth Sci. 9:1–26. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2021.659296

Paul GC, Saha S, Hembram TK (2019) Application of the GIS-Based Probabilistic Models for Mapping the Flood Susceptibility in Bansloi Sub-basin of Ganga-Bhagirathi River and Their Comparison. Remote Sens. Earth Syst. Sci. 2:120–146. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41976-019-00018-6

Pham BT et al. (2021) Can deep learning algorithms outperform benchmark machine learning algorithms in flood susceptibility modeling? J. Hydrol. 592, 125615. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125615

Rafiei-Sardooi E et al. (2021) Evaluating urban flood risk using hybrid method of TOPSIS and machine learning. Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2021.102614

Rahmati O, Pourghasemi HR, Zeinivand H (2016) Flood susceptibility mapping using frequency ratio and weightsof-evidence models in the Golastan Province, Iran. Geocarto Int. 31:42–70. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2015.1041559

Saber M et al. (2021) Examining LightGBM and CatBoost models for wadi flash flood susceptibility prediction. Geocarto Int. 0:1–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2021.1974959

Saha A et al. (2021) Flood susceptibility assessment using novel ensemble of hyperpipes and support vector regression algorithms. Water (Switzerland) 13, 241. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13020241

Sahana M, Patel PP (2019) A comparison of frequency ratio and fuzzy logic models for flood susceptibility assessment of the lower Kosi River Basin in India. Environ. Earth Sci. 78, 289. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-019-8285-1

Sarkar D et al. (2020) Morphometric Analysis Using SRTM-DEM and GIS of Nagar River Basin, Indo-Bangladesh Barind Tract. J. Indian Soc. Remote Sens. 48:597–614. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-020-01106-7

Setyani RE, Saputra R (2016) Flood-prone Areas Mapping at Semarang City by Using Simple Additive Weighting Method. Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci. 227:378–386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.06.089

Shahabi H et al. (2020) Flood Detection and Susceptibility Mapping Using Sentinel-1 Remote Sensing Data and a Machine Learning Approach: Hybrid Intelligence of Bagging Ensemble Based on K-Nearest Neighbor Classifier. Remote Sens. 12, 266. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12020266

Shahabi H et al. (2021) Flash flood susceptibility mapping using a novel deep learning model based on deep belief network, back propagation and genetic algorithm. Geosci. Front. 12, 101100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2020.10.007

Shahiri Tabarestani E, Afzalimehr H (2021) A comparative assessment of multi-criteria decision analysis for flood susceptibility modelling. Geocarto Int. 0:1–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2021.1923834

Shirzadi A et al. (2020) A novel ensemble learning based on Bayesian Belief Network coupled with an extreme learning machine for flash flood susceptibility mapping. Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell. 96, 103971. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2020.103971

Siahkamari S et al. (2018) Spatial prediction of flood-susceptible areas using frequency ratio and maximum entropy models. Geocarto Int. 33:927–941. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2017.1316780

Siam ZS, Hasan RT, Rahman RM (2021) Effects of Label Noise on Regression Performances and Model Complexities for Hybridized Machine Learning Based Spatial Flood Susceptibility Modelling. Cybern. Syst. 0:1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/01969722.2021.1988446

Skilodimou HD, Bathrellos GD, Alexakis DE (2021) Flood Hazard Assessment Mapping in Burned and Urban Areas. Sustainability 13, 4455. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084455

Suppawimut W (2021) Gis-based flood susceptibility mapping using statistical index and weighting factor models. Environ. Nat. Resour. J. 19:481–493. https://doi.org/10.32526/ennrj/19/2021003

Tehrany MS, Jones S, Shabani F (2019) Identifying the essential flood conditioning factors for flood prone area mapping using machine learning techniques. CATENA 175:174–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2018.12.011

Tehrany MS, Kumar L (2018) The application of a Dempster–Shafer-based evidential belief function in flood susceptibility mapping and comparison with frequency ratio and logistic regression methods. Environ. Earth Sci. 77:1–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-018-7667-0

Tella A, Balogun AL (2020) Ensemble fuzzy MCDM for spatial assessment of flood susceptibility in Ibadan, Nigeria. Nat. Hazards 104:2277–2306. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04272-6

Tien Bui D et al. (2020) A novel deep learning neural network approach for predicting flash flood susceptibility: A case study at a high frequency tropical storm area. Sci. Total Environ. 701, 134413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134413

Tien Bui D et al. (2019) A new intelligence approach based on GIS-based Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines and metaheuristic optimization for predicting flash flood susceptible areas at high-frequency tropical typhoon area. J. Hydrol. 575:314–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.05.046

Tiryaki M, Karaca O (2018) Flood susceptibility mapping using GIS and multicriteria decision analysis: Saricay-Çanakkale (Turkey). Arab. J. Geosci. 11, 364. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-018-3675-3 Ullah K, Zhang J (2020) GIS-based flood hazard mapping using relative frequency ratio method: A case study of Panjkora River Basin, eastern Hindu Kush, Pakistan. PLoS One 15, e0229153. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229153

Vojtek M, Vojteková J (2019) Flood Susceptibility Mapping on a National Scale in Slovakia Using the Analytical Hierarchy Process. Water 11, 364. https://doi.org/10.3390/w11020364

Yariyan P et al. (2020) Flood susceptibility mapping using an improved analytic network process with statistical models. Geomatics, Nat. Hazards Risk 11:2282–2314. https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2020.1836036

Yousefi S et al. (2020) Assessing the susceptibility of schools to flood events in Iran. Sci. Rep. 10:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75291-3

Zeng Z et al. (2021) Utilizing User-Generated Content and GIS for Flood Susceptibility Modeling in Mountainous Areas: A Case Study of Jian City in China. Sustainability 13, 6929. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126929

Zhao G et al. (2020) Urban flood susceptibility assessment based on convolutional neural networks. J. Hydrol. 590, 125235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125235

Zzaman RU et al. (2021) Flood hazard mapping of Sangu River basin in Bangladesh using multi-criteria analysis of hydro-geomorphological factors. J. Flood Risk Manag. 14. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12715